1 2 3 4 5	Stephen F. Rohde, State Bar # 51446 steve@rohde-victoroff.com ROHDE & VICTOROFF 1880 Century Park East, Suite 411 Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 Telephone: (310) 277-1482 Facsimile: (310) 277-1485 Attorneys for Defendant Lynne Spears	SEP 2 7 2012 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk BY Raul Sanchez
6		(All Carry)
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9		
10	SAM LUTFI,	CASE NO.: BC406904
11	Plaintiff,	Lynne Spears' Motion in Limine #2
12	vs.	DEFENDANT LYNNE SPEARS' NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION
13	LYNNE IRENE SPEARS, JAMES PARNELL SPEARS, BRITNEY JEAN) IN LIMINE # 2 TO PRECLUDE & EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND
14	SPEARS, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive.) TESTIMONY OF ALLEGED) DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS
15 16	Defendants.) EXCEPT THOSE IDENTIFIED IN) PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED) COMPLAINT; SUPPORTING
17		MEMORANDÚM AND DECLARATION OF STEPHEN F. ROHDE
18 1 19		Proposed] Order lodged concurrently herewith
20		Motion Hearing Date: 10/2/2012 Motion Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. Motion Hearing Place: Dept. 71
21		
22		Action Commenced: 2/3/2009 Final Status Conference: 9/252012 Jury Trial Date: 10/1/2012
23		Jury Trial Date: 10/1/2012
24		
25 26		
26		
28		
20		
	II	

LYNN SPEARS' MOTION IN LIMINE #1

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE
COUNSEL OF RECORD HEREIN: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on
October 2, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard in the
above-entitled Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012,
in Department 71 thereof, before the Honorable Soussan G. Bruguera, Defendant
Lynne Irene Spears ("Lynne") will and hereby does move this Court in limine for a
Trial Order prohibiting Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel from referring to, questioning
about, commenting upon, attempting to suggest or attempting to introduce testimony
or evidence concerning any alleged defamatory statements except for the following
Previously Identified Alleged Defamatory Statements set forth in the First
Amended Complaint filed on or about April 16, 2009 ("FAC") as a basis for the First,

- 1. "Sam told Jackie and me that he grinds up Britney's pills, which were on the counter and included Risperdol and Seroquel, and puts them in her food. He said that was the reason she had been quiet for the last three days. She had been drugged and asleep. He said that her doctor was trying to get her into a sleep-induced coma so that they could then give her other drugs to treat her."
- 2. "The general told us that he threw away all of Britney's phone chargers and disabled the house phones by cutting the wires."
 - 3. "He also disabled several of Britney's cars so she couldn't leave unattended."
 - 4. "He then told us to tell Britney that Adnan is gay."

Second and Fourth Causes of Action, to wit:

5. "Adnan told me that Sam hid Britney's cell phones and told her that he lost them."

- 6. "Adnan told me that Sam also would hide Britney's dog, London. She would look all over the house, crying, and then Sam would bring out the dog and act like some sort of savior."
- 7. In addition, Mr Lutfi alleges that the following words referred to him as published in Lynne Spears book: "fake," "Svengali," "a predator," "a gatekeeper," and "the General" and that he used paparazzi as his "foot soldiers" and "henchman."

Prior to filing this Motion, as soon as counsel for Lynne learned on September 24, 2012 that Plaintiff intended to vastly expand the scope of the Previously Identified Alleged Defamatory Statements to encompass Thirty-Four (34) Alleged **Defamatory Statements,** the next day on September 25, 2012, he immediately initiated a Meet & Confer process as set forth in the accompanying Declaration of Stephen F. Rohde, Esq., However, to date Plaintiff's counsel has never responded.

This Motion is based on the attached Memorandum of Points & Authorities, the attached Declaration of Stephen F. Rohde, Esq, the pleadings and papers on file in this action, and upon such argument and evidence as may be presented prior to or at the hearing of this matter.

Dated: September 27, 2012

ROHDE & VICTOROFF

PHEN F. ROHDE Attorneys for Defendant LYNNE SPEARS

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

On or about April 16, 2009, Plaintiff Sam Lutfi ("Lutfi") filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") against Defendant Lynne Spears ("Lynne") based on her book "Through the Storm" ("the Book") alleging a First Cause of Action for Libel, a Second Cause of Action for Defamation and a Fourth Cause of Action for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.

In Paragraphs 27, 28 and 29 of the Libel Cause of Action, Lutfi listed six (6) and only six (6) statements from the Book as allegedly libelous, to wit:

- 1. "Sam told Jackie and me that he grinds up Britney's pills, which were on the counter and included Risperdol and Seroquel, and puts them in her food. He said that was the reason she had been quiet for the last three days. She had been drugged and asleep. He said that her doctor was trying to get her into a sleep-induced coma so that they could then give her other drugs to treat her."
- 2. "The general told us that he threw away all of Britney's phone chargers and disabled the house phones by cutting the wires."
 - 3. "He also disabled several of Britney's cars so she couldn't leave unattended."
 - 4. "He then told us to tell Britney that Adnan is gay."
- 5. "Adnan told me that Sam hid Britney's cell phones and told her that he lost them."
- 6. "Adnan told me that Sam also would hide Britney's dog, London. She would look all over the house, crying, and then Sam would bring out the dog and act like some sort of savior."

In Paragraphs 37 and 38 of the Defamation Cause of Action, Lutfi listed seven (7) additional words from the Book as allegedly defamatory, to wit:

7. "fake," "Svengali," "a predator," "a gatekeeper," and "the General" and that he used paparazzi as his "foot soldiers" and "henchman."

11/2c/b5

The allegations of the First and Second Causes of Action are incorporated in the Fourth Cause of Action, which contains no additional factual allegations.

The foregoing 7 items are referred to herein as the **Previously Identified**Alleged Defamatory Statements. Throughout the 3 ½ years of this litigation, including all motion practice, an anti-SLAPP Motion, an appeal to the Court of Appeal on the anti-SLAPP motion, all discovery, including an extensive deposition of Lutfi, and Plaintiff's draft Jury Instructions submitted in December, 2011 in advance of the initial Trial date last January, the only libelous or defamatory statements alleges by Plaintiff were the **Previously Identified Alleged Defamatory Statements** listed above.

However, only three days ago, on September 24, 2012, on the eve of trial, for the first time, Plaintiff look Lynne and her counsel completely by surprise by listing in Plaintiff's [Proposed] Jury Instructions, a total of Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements. The very next day, Lynne's counsel sent Plaintiff's counsel an email pointing that "[c]ontrary to the First Amended Complaint" and "the Jury Instructions you previously circulated" the "Proposed Jury Instructions I received yesterday list an astounding 34 statements which you purport to assert as the basis for your claims against [Lynne]. ... Please consider this a Meet & Confer request to explain in every respect why you believe on the eve of trial you are entitled to spring a surprise like this and vastly expand the scope of your case beyond the FAC and your prior disclosures."

To date, Plaintiff's counsel has failed to respond and has failed to provide ANY legal basis for expanding this case to include Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements.

Under California law, "the words constituting an alleged libel must be specifically identified, if not pleaded verbatim, in the complaint." *Gilbert v. Sykes*, 147 Cal.App.4th 13, 34 (2007). Allegations which do not identify the substance of what was said or written are insufficient. *See Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Crystal*

Dynamics, Inc., 983 F.Supp. 1303, 1314 (N.D.Cal.199); Jacobson v Schwarzenegger, 357 F.Supp.2d 1198, 1216 (C.D.Cal.2004).

Unless this Motion in Limine No 2 is granted, Lynne's right to Due Process and Fair Notice will be violated and she will be severely prejudiced by being denied the right and opportunity prior to trial to challenge the **Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements,** by a Demurrer, an anti-SLAPP Motion, or a Motion for Summary Adjudication, and to conduct discovery on the **Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements.**

Furthermore, since Plaintiff is a limited public figure, this case is subject to all of the protections of the First Amendment, at the core of which is the fundamental constitutional right to be on notice of each and every alleged defamatory statement and to have a full and fair opportunity to prepare a complete defense to each and every alleged defamatory statement. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 285-6 (1964)

For each of these reasons, this Motion in Limine should be granted and the Court should enter an Order prohibiting Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel from referring to, questioning about, commenting upon, attempting to suggest or attempting to introduce testimony or evidence concerning any alleged defamatory statements as a basis for the First, Second and Fourth Causes of Action, except for the following:

- 1. "Sam told Jackie and me that he grinds up Britney's pills, which were on the counter and included Risperdol and Seroquel, and puts them in her food. He said that was the reason she had been quiet for the last three days. She had been drugged and asleep. He said that her doctor was trying to get her into a sleep-induced coma so that they could then give her other drugs to treat her."
- 2. "The general told us that he threw away all of Britney's phone chargers and disabled the house phones by cutting the wires."
 - 3. "He also disabled several of Britney's cars so she couldn't leave unattended."

- 4. "He then told us to tell Britney that Adnan is gay."
- 5. "Adnan told me that Sam hid Britney's cell phones and told her that he lost them."
- 6. "Adnan told me that Sam also would hide Britney's dog, London. She would look all over the house, crying, and then Sam would bring out the dog and act like some sort of savior."
- 7. In addition, Mr Lutfi alleges that the following words referred to him as published in Lynne Spears book: "fake," "Svengali," "a predator," "a gatekeeper," and "the General" and that he used paparazzi as his "foot soldiers" and "henchman."

Dated: September 27, 2012

ROHDE & VICTOROFF

EPHEN F. ROHDE

Attorneys for Defendant LYNNE SPEARS

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN F. ROHDE

- I, Stephen F. Rohde, hereby declare that:
- 1. I am an attorney at law duly admitted to practice before this Court and am a partner in the law firm of Rohde & Victoroff, attorneys of record for Defendant Lynn Irene Spears ("Lynne"). I have personal knowledge of the following facts, and could and would competently testify thereto if required to do so. I submit this Declaration in support of the Lynne's Motion *in limine* # 2.
- that Plaintiff intended to vastly expand the scope of the **Previously Identified**Alleged Defamatory Statements to encompass Thirty-Four (34) Alleged

 Defamatory Statements, the next day on September 25, 2012, I immediately initiated a Meet & Confer process by sending Joseph Schleimer, Esq, Plaintiff's counsl, an email pointing that "[c]ontrary to the First Amended Complaint" and "the Jury Instructions you previously circulated" the "Proposed Jury Instructions I received yesterday list an astounding 34 statements which you purport to assert as the basis for your claims against [Lynne]. ... Please consider this a Meet & Confer request to explain in every respect why you believe on the eve of trial you are entitled to spring a surprise like this and vastly expand the scope of your case beyond the FAC and your prior disclosures." However, to date Plaintiff's counsel has never responded.
- 3. Plaintiff circulated draft Jury Instructions in December, 2011 in advance of the initial Trial date last January, in which he listed only the **Previously Identified**Alleged Defamatory Statements. He did NOT list Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements.
- 4. As counsel for Lynne, I have conducted my entire defense and my entire trial preparation on the basis of the **Previously Identified Alleged Defamatory Statements,** NOT the **Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements.** It would cause severe prejudice to my client if Plaintiff on the eve of Trial is allowed to proceed on the basis of the **Thirty-Four (34) Alleged Defamatory Statements,**

instead of the Previously Identified Alleged Defamatory Statements. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed this 27th day of September, 2012, at Los Angeles, California. STEPHEN F. ROHDE

LYNN SPEARS' MOTION IN LIMINE #1